

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/479,214	01/07/2000	MASAHIRO IWADATE	862.3202	2786
5514 7:	590 01/24/2005		EXAM	INER
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			BRINICH, STEPHEN M	
30 ROCKEFEI NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			2624	
			DATE MAILED: 01/24/200:	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/479,214	IWADATE, MASAHIRO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen M Brinich	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reprepty within the statutory minimum of thirty iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI atute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	4 September 2004.					
	his action is non-final.	•				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 and 18-20 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2 and 5-7 is/are rejected.  7) ⊠ Claim(s) 3,4 and 18-20 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t		` '				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been received in Appriority documents have been received.	olication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur					
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		Mail Date promal Patent Application (PTO-152) .				

Art Unit: 2624

#### DETAILED ACTION

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-2 & 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hashimoto et al.

Re claims 1-2 & 6-7, Hashimoto et al discloses (Figures 23-24; column 38, line 29 - column 39, line 39) an image generator for generating an image communicated from a host computer in page description language and converted to a bitmap form which is then recorded on a recording medium (column 3, line 65 - column 4, line 3). A controller for this process detects errors when they occur and issues a command to restart the image generation process when the errors are resolved.

Re claim 5, Hashimoto et al discloses (column 4, lines 2-3) a scanner for reading an image and converting it to image data.

Application/Control Number: 09/479,214

Art Unit: 2624

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 3-4 & 18-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Re claim 3, the art of record does not teach or suggest the recited timing function in conjunction with image generator error detection.

Re claims 4 & 18 (and dependent claims 19-20), the art of record does not teach or suggest the recited user notification and user input functions in conjunction with image generator error detection.

## Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Brinich at 703-305-4390. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays 7:00-4:30, alternate Fridays off.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 Customer Service center at 703-306-0377.

Application/Control Number: 09/479,214

Art Unit: 2624

Page 4

If attempts to contact the examiner and the Customer Service Center are unsuccessful, supervisor David Moore can be contacted at 703-308-7452.

Faxes pertaining to this application should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 official fax number, which is 703-872-9306.

Hand-carried or courier-delivered correspondence pertaining to this application should be directed to

US Patent and Trademark Office 220 South 20<sup>th</sup> Street Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03 Arlington VA 22202

Stephen M Brinich

Examiner

Art Unit 2624

smb January 21, 2005